IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

MICROSOFT MOBILE, INC. and MICROSOFT () MOBILE OY,))
Plaintiffs/Counterclaim Defendants,	C.A. No.: 15-723-RGA
v. ()
INTERDIGITAL, INC.; INTERDIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS, INC; INTERDIGITAL TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION; INTERDIGITAL PATENT HOLDINGS, INC.; INTERDIGITAL HOLDINGS, INC.; and IPR LICENSING, INC.,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)))
Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs.	,)

[PROPOSED] SCHEDULING ORDER

This ____ day of September, 2016, the Court having conducted an initial Rule 16(b) scheduling conference pursuant to Local Rule 16.1(b), and the parties having determined after discussion that the matter cannot be resolved at this juncture by settlement, voluntary mediation, or binding arbitration;

IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. <u>Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures</u>. The parties shall make their initial disclosures pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1) on **October 11, 2016**.
- 2. <u>Joinder of Other Parties and Amendment of Pleadings</u>. All motions to join other parties, and to amend or supplement the pleadings, shall be filed on or before **March** 24, 2017.
 - 3. <u>Discovery</u>.
- a. <u>Discovery Cut Off.</u> All fact discovery in this case shall be initiated so that it will be completed on or before **October 6, 2017**.
- b. <u>Document Production</u>. Document production by the parties shall be substantially complete by **May 26, 2017**.

- c. <u>Requests for Admission</u>. A maximum of **50** requests for admission are permitted for each side. This limitation is exclusive of requests for admission of the authenticity of documents.
- d. <u>Interrogatories</u>. A maximum of [Microsoft proposal: 30 / InterDigital proposal: 70] interrogatories, including contention interrogatories, are permitted for each side.

e. Depositions.

- i. <u>Limitation on Hours for Deposition Discovery</u>. Each side is limited to a total of **84** hours of taking testimony by deposition upon oral examination of party-affiliated fact witnesses (including former employees of a party), and a total of **49** hours of third-party fact witnesses, not including expert depositions.
- ii. <u>Location of Depositions</u>. Any party or representative (officer, director, or managing agent) of a party filing a civil action in this district court must ordinarily be required, upon request, to submit to a deposition at a place designated within this district. Exceptions to this general rule may be made by order of the Court or by agreement of the parties. A defendant who becomes a counterclaimant, cross-claimant, or third-party plaintiff shall be considered as having filed an action in this Court for the purpose of this provision.

f. Disclosure of Expert Testimony.

i. Expert Reports. For the party who has the initial burden of proof on the subject matter, the initial Federal Rule 26(a)(2) disclosure of expert testimony is due on or before **November 3, 2017**. The disclosure to contradict or rebut evidence on the same matter identified by another party is due on or before **December 22, 2017**. [Microsoft

Proposal: Reply expert reports from the party with the burden of proof are due on or before January 9, 2018. / InterDigital Proposal: no addition of reply expert reports]. No other expert reports will be permitted without either the consent of all parties or leave of the Court. Along with the submissions of the expert reports, the parties shall advise of the dates and times of their experts' availability for deposition. Any expert depositions shall be taken no later than March 23, 2018.

- ii. <u>Objections to Expert Testimony</u>. To the extent any objection to expert testimony is made pursuant to the principles announced in *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc.*, 509 U.S. 579 (1993), as incorporated in Federal Rule of Evidence 702, it shall be made by motion no later than the deadline for dispositive motions set forth herein, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.
- g. <u>Discovery Matters and Disputes Relating to Protective Orders.</u> Should counsel find they are unable to resolve a discovery matter or a dispute relating to a protective order, the parties involved in the discovery matter or protective order dispute shall contact the Court's Case Manager to schedule a hearing. Unless otherwise ordered, by no later than forty-eight hours prior to the hearing, the party seeking relief shall file with the Court a letter, not to exceed three pages, outlining the issues in dispute and its position on those issues. By no later than twenty-four hours prior to the hearing, any party opposing the application for relief may file a letter, not to exceed three pages, outlining that party's reasons for its opposition. Should any document(s) be filed under seal, a courtesy copy of the sealed document(s) must be provided to the Court within one hour of e-filing the document(s).

If a discovery-related motion is filed without leave of the Court, it will be denied without prejudice to the moving party's right to bring the dispute to the Court through

the discovery matters procedures set forth in this Order.

- h. <u>Applicability of Default Standard for Discovery</u>: The Default Standard for Discovery shall be applicable to this case, subject to any modifications agreed to by the parties, with the exception of Section 5 (Specific E-Discovery Issues). The parties shall meet and confer with the goal of agreeing on a protocol for e-discovery.
- 4. <u>Application to Court for Protective Order</u>. Should counsel find it will be necessary to apply to the Court for a protective order specifying terms and conditions for the disclosure of confidential information, counsel should confer and attempt to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order and submit it to the Court by **October 18, 2016**. Should counsel be unable to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order, counsel must follow the provisions of Paragraph 3(g) above.

Any proposed protective order must include the following paragraph:

Other Proceedings. By entering this order and limiting the disclosure of information in this case, the Court does not intend to preclude another court from finding that information may be relevant and subject to disclosure in another case. Any person or party subject to this order who becomes subject to a motion to disclose another party's information designated as confidential pursuant to this order shall promptly notify that party of the motion so that the party may have an opportunity to appear and be heard on whether that information should be disclosed.

- 5. <u>Papers Filed Under Seal</u>. When filing papers under seal, counsel shall deliver to the Clerk an original and one copy of the papers. A redacted version of any sealed document shall be filed electronically within seven days of the filing of the sealed document.
- 6. <u>Courtesy Copies</u>. The parties shall provide to the Court two courtesy copies of all briefs and one courtesy copy of any other document filed in support of any briefs (i.e., appendices, exhibits, declarations, affidavits etc.). This provision also applies to papers filed

under seal.

- 7. <u>Case Dispositive Motions</u>. All case dispositive motions, an opening brief, and affidavits, if any, in support of the motion shall be served and filed on or before **May 11**, **2018**. No case dispositive motion under Rule 56 may be filed more than ten days before the above date without leave of the Court.
- 8. <u>Applications by Motion</u>. Except as otherwise specified herein, any application to the Court shall be by written motion. Any non-dispositive motion should contain the statement required by Local Rule 7.1.1.
- 9. Pretrial Conference. In [September 2018, as the Court's schedule may allow], the Court will hold a Rule 16(e) final pretrial conference in Court with counsel beginning at ___.m. The parties shall file a joint proposed final pretrial order in compliance with Local Rule 16.3(c) no later than 5 p.m. on the third business day before the date of the final pretrial conference. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the parties shall comply with the timeframes set forth in Local Rule 16.3(d) for the preparation of the proposed joint final pretrial order.
- 10. <u>Motions in Limine</u>. Motions in limine shall not be separately filed. All in limine requests and responses thereto shall be set forth in the proposed pretrial order. Each party shall be limited to three in limine requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court. The in limine request and any response shall contain the authorities relied upon; each in limine request may be supported by a maximum of three pages of argument and may be opposed by a maximum of three pages of argument, and the party making the in limine request may add a maximum of one additional page in reply in support of its request. If more than one party is supporting or opposing an in limine request, such support or opposition shall be combined in a single three

page submission (and, if the moving party, a single one page reply). No separate briefing shall

be submitted on *in limine* requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court.

11. Jury Instructions, Voir Dire, and Special Verdict Forms. Where a case is to

be tried to a jury, pursuant to Local Rules 47.1(a)(2) and 51.1, the parties should file (i)

proposed voir dire, (ii) preliminary jury instructions, (iii) final jury instructions, and (iv)

special verdict forms no later than 5 p.m. on the third business day before the date of the final

pretrial conference. The plaintiff should expect to submit to an email address to be

designated each of the foregoing four documents in WordPerfect format.

12. <u>Trial</u>. This matter is scheduled for a 10 day jury trial [beginning on or after

September 24, 2018, as the Court's schedule may allow], with the subsequent trial days

beginning at 9:30 a.m. Until the case is submitted to the jury for deliberations, the jury will

be excused each day at 4:30 p.m. The trial will be timed, as counsel will be allocated a total

number of hours in which to present their respective cases.

13. <u>ADR Process</u>. This matter is referred to a magistrate judge to explore

the possibility of alternative dispute resolution.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

6